For personal use only

3 August 2026

# Broad High-Grade intercepts returned from Kingfisher, Hawk and Swan RC Drilling

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Infill and extension RC drilling at **Kingfisher** has returned numerous broad and high-grade intercepts including:
    - **23m @ 5.26g/t Au** from 188m including **15m @ 7.65g/t Au** from 192m
    - **45m @ 1.24g/t Au** from 114m including **3m @ 6.79g/t Au** from 114m
    - **12m @ 2.81g/t Au** from 74m including **6m @ 5.26g/t Au** from 79m
    - **21m @ 1.36g/t Au** from 130m including **4m @ 3.17g/t Au** from 131m
    - **6m @ 3.94g/t Au** from 67m including **2m @ 9.14g/t Au** from 67m
    - **2m @ 12.15g/t Au** from 52m including **1m @ 23.40g/t Au** from 52m
    - **9m @ 2.12g/t Au** from 205m including **2m @ 6.74g/t Au** from 205m
    - **10m @ 1.83g/t Au** from 154m including **5m @ 3.08g/t Au** from 157m
    - **11m @ 1.64g/t Au** from 186m including **3m @ 4.20g/t Au** from 186m
    - **9m @ 1.94g/t Au** from 75m including **6m @ 2.74g/t Au** from 78m
    - **14m @ 1.23g/t Au** from 125m including **1m @ 13.90g/t Au** from 125m
- Two RC holes drilled at **Hawk** have extended the known gold mineralisation down dip and down plunge to the north. Significant intercepts included:
    - **12m @ 2.30g/t Au** from 140m including **6m @ 4.26g/t Au** from 140m
    - **5m @ 3.46g/t Au** from 159m
- Shallow extensional RC drilling at **Swan** returned the following significant intercepts:
    - **16m @ 1.84g/t Au** from 45m including **7m @ 3.03g/t Au** from 46m
    - **6m @ 1.23g/t Au** from 28m
- Follow up RC and diamond drilling is being planned at all 3 prospects
- Diamond and RC drilling is continuing across the Gum Creek Project area

**Horizon Gold Limited (ASX: HRN) ('Horizon' or 'the Company')** is pleased to announce numerous significant gold intercepts returned from RC drilling at its 100% owned Gum Creek Gold Project **('Gum Creek' or 'the Project')** located in the Mid-West Region of Western Australia. Final assay results for Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling completed at

Suite 8, Level 3
47 Havelock Street
West Perth WA 6005

T: +61 8 6331 6092
E: info@horizongold.com.au
W: horizongold.com.au

three prospects including Kingfisher, Hawk and Swan have been received (Figure 1). Significant results are outlined below.





**Figure 1: Gum Creek Gold Project existing Mineral Resources, Potential Mineral Resources and Exploration Targets over simplified geology.**

**Managing Director Scott Williamson said:**

*"Impressive high-grade RC drilling results from Kingfisher, Hawk and Swan highlight the potential for resource expansion close to the proposed 2.4Mtpa processing plant. Follow up drilling is being planned prior to updating the respective resource estimations. Importantly, these resource additions sit outside those included in the recently released Definitive Feasibility Study and will potentially enhance project economics.*

For personal use only

For personal use only

## Kingfisher Prospect

The Kingfisher Prospect is located 3.5km south-southeast of the old Gidgee Mill and hosts a current open cut MRE of 1.22Mt @ 2.01g/t Au for 78,900oz and an underground MRE of 1.04Mt @ 3.38g/t Au for 113,500oz. (Table A).

The Company completed twenty RC holes at Kingfisher for a total of 3,273m (Figure 2). The program aimed to infill a gap in historic drilling within the northern, near surface part of the resource, and to test for strike extensions along strike to the north of the current resource block model. The drilling returned the following significant intercepts (>16 GxM) (Figures 2 & 3, Table C) confirming the continuity of mineralisation in the "gap", and highlighting the potential for further resource expansion along strike to the north:

- **23m @ 5.26g/t Au** from 188m including **15m @ 7.65g/t Au** from 192m (KFRC038)
- **45m @ 1.24g/t Au** from 114m including **3m @ 6.79g/t Au** from 114m (KFRC042)
- **12m @ 2.81g/t Au** from 74m including **6m @ 5.26g/t Au** from 79m (KFRC036)
- **21m @ 1.36g/t Au** from 130m including **4m @ 3.17g/t Au** from 131m (KFRC030)
- **6m @ 3.94g/t Au** from 67m including **2m @ 9.14g/t Au** from 67m (KFRC030)
- **2m @ 12.15g/t Au** from 52m including **1m @ 23.40g/t Au** from 52m (KFRC032)
- **9m @ 2.12g/t Au** from 205m including **2m @ 6.74g/t Au** from 205m (KFRC042)
- **10m @ 1.83g/t Au** from 154m including **5m @ 3.08g/t Au** from 157m (KFRC044)
- **11m @ 1.64g/t Au** from 186m including **3m @ 4.20g/t Au** from 186m (KFRC040)
- **9m @ 1.94g/t Au** from 75m including **6m @ 2.74g/t Au** from 78m (KFRC029)
- **14m @ 1.23g/t Au** from 125m including **1m @ 13.90g/t Au** from 125m (KFRC038)
- **35m @ 0.71g/t Au** from 103m including **8m @ 1.62g/t Au** from 128m (KFRC044)
- **21m @ 0.96g/t Au** from 114m including **3m @ 1.78g/t Au** from 116m (KFRC043)
- **11m @ 1.46g/t Au** from 89m including **3m @ 2.26g/t Au** from 97m (KFRC036)





**Figure 2: Kingfisher drill hole plan showing all drill collars coloured by maximum downhole gold (g/t Au) and recent drill hole gold intercepts (>16 GxM) over satellite image.**

For personal use only





**Figure 3: Kingfisher cross section showing recent RC drilling intercepts (>16 GxM), interpreted geology and mineralised envelope and Kingfisher open pit.**

Further RC drilling along strike, up plunge, and down-dip of the 23m @ 5.26g/t Au intercept is planned with the aim of increasing the open pittable resource and improving resource confidence levels at the northern end of the Kingfisher. This drilling will be completed in the coming months prior to an MRE update for the Kingfisher resource later in 2026.

## Hawk Prospect

The Hawk Prospect is located 5km south-southeast of the old Gidgee mill and was previously mined by open cut methods. The current MRE for Hawk is 0.76Mt @ 1.35g/t Au for 33,000oz (Table A).

The Company completed 3 RC holes for a total of 552m. The drilling aimed at testing an interpreted north-plunging high-grade mineralised shoot down plunge of the existing resource (Figure 4 & 5, Table D). Significant intercepts include:

- **12m @ 2.30g/t Au** from 140m including **6m @ 4.26g/t Au** from 140m (HKRC026)
- **5m @ 3.46g/t Au** from 159m (HKRC027)

For personal use only

HKRC026 and HKRC027 intersected the interpreted high-grade gold shoot that lies within the overall steep SW dipping 5m to 10m thick mineralised zone. Primary mineralisation is hosted within thick steeply dipping quartz-carbonate-pyrite shear veins and thinner flat lying tension veins within sericite-carbonate altered basalt. Further RC drilling along strike and down-plunge of the 6m @ 4.26g/t Au intercept is planned with the aim of increasing the open pittable resource and improving resource confidence levels at depth. This drilling will be completed in the coming months prior to an MRE update for the Hawk resource later in 2026.





**Figure 4: Hawk drill hole plan showing all drill collars coloured by maximum downhole gold (g/t Au), recent gold intercepts (>15 GxM) and historic intercepts (>40 GxM) over satellite image.**

For personal use only





**Figure 5: Hawk cross section showing interpreted mineralised zones, 2026 RC intercepts (>25 GxM) and historic intercepts (>12 GxM) labelled.**

## Swan Prospect

The Swan deposit is located 700m east of the old Gidgee Mill. The Swan / Swift open cut MRE is currently 7.00Mt @ 1.85g/t Au for 415,600oz and the Swan underground MRE is 1.73Mt @ 4.19g/t Au for 233,700oz (Table A).

Five RC holes for 424m were completed with the aim of extending gold mineralisation to the north and confirming the interpretation of known gold mineralisation. Significant assay results (Figure 6 & 7, Table E) included:

- **16m @ 1.84g/t Au** from 45m including **7m @ 3.03g/t Au** from 46m (SBRC150)
- **6m @ 1.23g/t Au** from 28m (SBRC147)

Results extended low grade mineralisation along strike to the north of the current resource model and the SBRC150 intercept implies mineralisation is steeply west dipping. The current geological interpretation will be adjusted to suit. Further shallow RC drilling is required along strike of SBRC146 and SBRC147. This drilling will also be completed in the coming months prior to the next Swan MRE update later in 2026.

For personal use only




**Figure 6: Swan drill hole plan showing all drill collars coloured by maximum downhole gold (g/t Au) and recent gold intercepts (>6 GxM) over satellite image.**





**Figure 7: Swan cross section showing interpreted mineralised zones and recent (>7GxM) intercepts and historic intercepts (>3 GxM) labelled.**

For personal use only

## About the Company

Horizon Gold Limited (ASX: HRN) is an exploration company focused on its 100% owned Gum Creek Project in Western Australia (Figure 8). The Gum Creek Gold Project represents an exciting gold exploration and potential development opportunity that currently contains a Mineral Resource Estimate of **37.97Mt @ 1.89g/t Au for 2.30 million ounces** (Table A) including Indicated and Inferred resource classifications in accordance with the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code 2012 edition).

On 22 July 2026 the Company announced an Open Pit Definitive Feasibility Study[1] (DFS) that outlined production, capital and operating costs and a detailed schedule for the engineering, procurement, construction, commissioning and ramp-up of a 2.4Mtpa gold mining and processing facility at the Gum Creek Gold Project. The DFS delivered a maiden Ore Reserve of **18.2Mt at 1.24 g/t Au for 728koz** of contained gold reported in accordance with the JORC Code 2012 guidelines.

It is anticipated the Project will deliver an average gold production of **88koz per annum over an initial 10-year mine life**, peaking at 114koz per annum in year two, and delivering a total of 880koz gold recovered over the Life of Mine (LOM) with an **AISC of A$2,995/oz**.

The Open Pit DFS confirms Gum Creek's potential to become Western Australia's next major gold project development, underpinned by low operating costs, a high-margin production profile and strong growth potential from extensive underground mining opportunities and sulphide ore deposits which currently sit outside the DFS scope.

[1] *Refer to Horizon Gold Limited ASX Announcement titled "Gum Creek Open Pit DFS Confirms Pathway for WA's Next Major Gold Mine Development" dated 22 July 2026.*

For personal use only





**Figure 8: Gum Creek Gold Project and surrounding gold resources and operating gold processing facilities.**

For personal use only

## Horizon Gold Limited Mineral Resources

### Table A: Gum Creek Gold Resources as at 4 November 2025[2]

| Resource | Resource Date | Cut-off grade (g/t Au) | Indicated | | | Inferred | | | Total | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Tonnes | Au (g/t) | Gold (oz) | Tonnes | Au (g/t) | Gold (oz) | Tonnes | Au (g/t) | Gold (oz) |
| Swan/Swift OC | Nov-25 | 0.4 | 6,661,000 | 1.86 | 399,000 | 335,000 | 1.54 | 16,600 | 6,996,000 | 1.85 | 415,600 |
| Swan UG* | Nov-25 | 1.5 | 935,000 | 4.45 | 133,700 | 798,000 | 3.90 | 100,000 | 1,733,000 | 4.19 | 233,700 |
| Swift UG* | Nov-25 | 1.5 | 35,000 | 2.22 | 2,500 | 813,000 | 2.54 | 66,300 | 848,000 | 2.52 | 68,800 |
| Wilsons UG* | Nov-25 | 1.5 | 2,759,000 | 4.37 | 387,400 | 126,000 | 3.16 | 12,800 | 2,885,000 | 4.31 | 400,200 |
| Howards | Nov-25 | 0.4 | 6,095,000 | 1.13 | 221,800 | 751,000 | 0.97 | 23,500 | 6,846,000 | 1.11 | 245,300 |
| Kingfisher OC | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 1,139,000 | 2.05 | 75,100 | 79,000 | 1.50 | 3,800 | 1,218,000 | 2.01 | 78,900 |
| Kingfisher UG* | Nov-25 | 1.5 | 94,000 | 2.71 | 8,200 | 949,000 | 3.45 | 105,300 | 1,043,000 | 3.38 | 113,500 |
| Heron | May-23 | 0.6 | 330,000 | 2.11 | 22,400 | 1,822,000 | 1.51 | 88,200 | 2,152,000 | 1.60 | 110,600 |
| Eagle | Nov-25 | 0.4 | 817,000 | 1.27 | 33,400 | 1,202,000 | 1.29 | 50,000 | 2,019,000 | 1.28 | 83,400 |
| Heron South | May-23 | 0.8 | 720,000 | 1.79 | 41,400 | 761,000 | 1.53 | 37,500 | 1,481,000 | 1.66 | 78,900 |
| Shiraz | Nov-25 | 0.4 | 1,947,000 | 1.04 | 65,400 | 372,000 | 0.94 | 11,200 | 2,319,000 | 1.03 | 76,600 |
| Wyooda** | Nov-25 | 0.8 | 557,000 | 1.54 | 27,500 | 718,000 | 1.56 | 36,100 | 1,275,000 | 1.55 | 63,600 |
| Snook | Jul-22 | 0.8 | 75,000 | 2.57 | 6,200 | 846,000 | 1.76 | 47,800 | 921,000 | 1.82 | 54,000 |
| Toedter | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 905,000 | 1.31 | 38,200 | 99,000 | 1.32 | 4,200 | 1,004,000 | 1.31 | 42,400 |
| Hawk | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 591,000 | 1.38 | 26,200 | 167,000 | 1.27 | 6,800 | 758,000 | 1.35 | 33,000 |
| Specimen Well | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 431,000 | 1.49 | 20,600 | 114,000 | 1.31 | 4,800 | 545,000 | 1.45 | 25,400 |
| Wedge | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 427,000 | 1.42 | 19,500 | 56,000 | 2.83 | 5,100 | 483,000 | 1.58 | 24,600 |
| Camel Bore | Jul-22 | 0.8 | 379,000 | 1.47 | 17,900 | 100,000 | 1.21 | 3,900 | 479,000 | 1.42 | 21,800 |
| Melbourne Bitter | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 318,000 | 1.46 | 14,900 | 157,000 | 1.27 | 6,400 | 475,000 | 1.39 | 21,300 |
| Hyperno-Reliance | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 295,000 | 1.52 | 14,400 | 183,000 | 1.02 | 6,000 | 478,000 | 1.33 | 20,400 |
| Kearrys | May-23 | 0.6 | 450,000 | 1.24 | 18,000 | 46,000 | 1.35 | 2,000 | 496,000 | 1.25 | 20,000 |
| Psi | Jul-22 | 0.8 | 100,000 | 2.08 | 6,700 | 226,000 | 1.69 | 12,300 | 326,000 | 1.81 | 19,000 |
| Deep South Reliance | Nov-25 | 0.6 | 229,000 | 1.53 | 11,300 | 17,000 | 0.91 | 500 | 246,000 | 1.49 | 11,800 |
| Orion | Jul-22 | 0.8 | 69,000 | 1.49 | 3,300 | 182,000 | 1.40 | 8,200 | 251,000 | 1.43 | 11,500 |
| Eagles Peak | May-23 | 0.6 | 264,000 | 1.19 | 10,100 | 41,000 | 0.99 | 1,300 | 305,000 | 1.16 | 11,400 |
| Wahoo | Jul-22 | 0.8 | - | - | - | 258,000 | 1.25 | 10,400 | 258,000 | 1.25 | 10,400 |
| Fangio | May-23 | 0.6 | 99,000 | 1.32 | 4,200 | 30,000 | 1.35 | 1,300 | 129,000 | 1.33 | 5,500 |
| **Total** | | | **26,721,000** | **1.90** | **1,629,300** | **11,248,000** | **1.86** | **672,300** | **37,969,000** | **1.89** | **2,301,600** |

* Cut-off grades for Swan, Swift, Wilsons and Kingfisher underground Indicated and Inferred are 1.5g/t Au.
** Wyooda includes the Kingston Town, Think Big and Manikato resources which are within 600m and 200m of each other respectively.
*Note: Figures have been rounded.*

### Table B: Gum Creek Gold Reserves as at 22 July 2026[3]

| Deposit | Classification | Ore Tonnes (Mt) | Grade (g/t) | Au Metal (koz) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Eagle | Probable | 0.8 | 0.99 | 25 |
| Hawk | Probable | 0.7 | 1.07 | 25 |
| Howards | Probable | 5.8 | 0.99 | 186 |
| Hyperno-Reliance | Probable | 0.3 | 1.23 | 12 |
| Kingfisher | Probable | 1.5 | 1.51 | 71 |
| Swan/Swift | Probable | 8.6 | 1.42 | 392 |
| Wedge | Probable | 0.5 | 1.04 | 18 |
| **Total** | **Probable** | **18.2** | **1.24** | **728** |

*Notes: Ore Reserves are reported using a A$4,500 gold price basis for cut-off grade calculations*
*Figures have been rounded.*

[2] *Refer to Horizon Gold Ltd ASX announcement titled "Gum Creek Project Gold Resource Update" dated 4 November 2025.*
[3] *Refer to Horizon Gold Limited ASX Announcement titled "Gum Creek Open Pit DFS Confirms Pathway for WA's Next Major Gold Mine Development" dated 22 July 2026.*

## Table C: Significant Drill Hole Intercepts (>2 GxM) – Kingfisher RC Drilling

| Hole ID | East | North | RL | Depth | Dip | Azi | From | To | Width | Au g/t |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| KFRC025 | 739757 | 6980157 | 515 | 140 | -61 | 53 | 84 | 89 | 5 | 0.84 |
| | | | | | | | 106 | 115 | **9** | **1.11** |
| | | | | incl | | | 108 | 112 | **4** | **1.97** |
| | | | | | | | 124 | 126 | 2 | 1.12 |
| KFRC026 | 739735 | 6980142 | 515 | 170 | -60 | 55 | 54 | 58 | 4 | 0.65 |
| | | | | | | | 146 | 150 | 4 | 0.86 |
| | | | | | | | 154 | 161 | **7** | **1.28** |
| | | | | incl | | | 154 | 157 | **3** | **2.04** |
| KFRC027 | 739776 | 6980121 | 515 | 144 | -60 | 54 | 35 | 42 | 7 | 0.47 |
| | | | | | | | 50 | 51 | 1 | 2.35 |
| | | | | | | | 88 | 91 | **3** | **4.31** |
| | | | | incl | | | 88 | 89 | **1** | **6.64** |
| | | | | | | | 117 | 118 | 1 | 4.61 |
| KFRC028 | 739756 | 6980108 | 514 | 165 | -60 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 1 | 1.05 |
| | | | | | | | 132 | 149 | **17** | **0.61** |
| | | | | incl | | | 142 | 146 | **4** | **1.4** |
| | | | | | | | 152 | 156 | 4 | 1.48 |
| | | | | incl | | | 154 | 155 | 1 | 4.46 |
| | | | | | | | 159 | 163 | 4 | 1.46 |
| | | | | | | | 160 | 162 | 2 | 2.41 |
| KFRC029 | 739814 | 6980120 | 515 | 114 | -60 | 51 | 75 | 84 | **9** | **1.94** |
| | | | | incl | | | 78 | 84 | **6** | **2.74** |
| KFRC030 | 739789 | 6980082 | 515 | 168 | -60 | 51 | 67 | 73 | **6** | **3.94** |
| | | | | incl | | | 67 | 69 | **2** | **9.14** |
| | | | | | | | 130 | 151 | **21** | **1.36** |
| | | | | incl | | | 131 | 135 | **4** | **3.17** |
| | | | | and | | | 139 | 142 | **3** | **2.6** |
| | | | | | | | 155 | 167 | **12** | **0.98** |
| | | | | incl | | | 164 | 166 | **2** | **3.88** |
| KFRC031 | 739834 | 6980090 | 515 | 100 | -61 | 54 | 64 | 76 | 12 | 0.48 |
| | | | | incl | | | 66 | 68 | 2 | 1.74 |
| KFRC032 | 739800 | 6980064 | 514 | 168 | -60 | 54 | 52 | 54 | **2** | **12.15** |
| | | | | incl | | | 52 | 53 | **1** | **23.4** |
| | | | | | | | 65 | 79 | 14 | 0.52 |
| | | | | incl | | | 68 | 71 | 3 | 1.18 |
| | | | | | | | 83 | 94 | 11 | 0.43 |
| | | | | incl | | | 88 | 89 | 1 | 1.26 |
| | | | | | | | 112 | 133 | 21 | 0.24 |
| KFRC033 | 739785 | 6980103 | 515 | 150 | -60 | 54 | 39 | 51 | **12** | **0.96** |
| | | | | incl | | | 40 | 44 | **4** | **1.87** |
| | | | | | | | 138 | 150 | 12 | 0.52 |
| | | | | incl | | | 145 | 147 | 2 | 1.4 |
| KFRC034 | 739820 | 6980103 | 515 | 120 | -60 | 49 | 59 | 72 | 13 | 0.68 |
| | | | | incl | | | 69 | 72 | 3 | 2.2 |
| | | | | | | | 76 | 87 | **11** | **1.02** |
| | | | | incl | | | 77 | 79 | **2** | **3.59** |
| KFRC035 | 739841 | 6980069 | 515 | 115 | -59 | 47 | 30 | 34 | 4 | 1.9 |
| | | | | incl | | | 30 | 32 | 2 | 3.65 |
| KFRC036 | 739804 | 6980042 | 514 | 160 | -60 | 53 | 74 | 86 | **12** | **2.81** |
| | | | | incl | | | 79 | 85 | **6** | **5.26** |
| | | | | | | | 89 | 100 | **11** | **1.46** |
| | | | | incl | | | 97 | 100 | **3** | **2.26** |
| KFRC037 | 739785 | 6980030 | 514 | 192 | -60 | 53 | 78 | 80 | 2 | 1.29 |
| | | | | | | | 102 | 104 | 2 | 1.53 |
| | | | | | | | 172 | 179 | 7 | 0.44 |
| | | | | | | | 184 | 187 | 3 | 0.93 |

For personal use only

| Hole ID | East | North | RL | Depth | Dip | Azi | From | To | Width | Au g/t |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| KFRC038 | 739768 | 6980018 | 515 | 216 | -60 | 52 | 125 | 139 | **14** | **1.23** |
| | | | | incl | | | 125 | 126 | **1** | **13.9** |
| | | | | | | | 143 | 161 | 18 | 0.57 |
| | | | | incl | | | 147 | 151 | 4 | 1.09 |
| | | | | | | | 164 | 167 | **3** | **4.34** |
| | | | | incl | | | 164 | 165 | **1** | **7.78** |
| | | | | | | | 188 | 211 | **23** | **5.26** |
| | | | | incl | | | 192 | 207 | **15** | **7.65** |
| KFRC039 | 739854 | 6980032 | 514 | 160 | -60 | 53 | 32 | 38 | 6 | 0.58 |
| | | | | incl | | | 37 | 38 | 1 | 2.23 |
| KFRC040 | 739810 | 6980000 | 514 | 200 | -60 | 53 | 88 | 106 | 18 | 0.83 |
| | | | | incl | | | 88 | 95 | **7** | **1.45** |
| | | | | | | | 127 | 132 | 5 | 0.43 |
| | | | | | | | 167 | 171 | 4 | 1.68 |
| | | | | incl | | | 167 | 168 | 1 | 3.33 |
| | | | | | | | 178 | 183 | 5 | 1.26 |
| | | | | incl | | | 178 | 181 | 3 | 1.85 |
| | | | | | | | 186 | 197 | **11** | **1.64** |
| | | | | incl | | | 186 | 189 | **3** | **4.2** |
| KFRC041 | 739859 | 6980003 | 514 | 162 | -63 | 53 | 114 | 122 | 8 | 1.17 |
| | | | | | | | 117 | 120 | 3 | 2.02 |
| | | | | | | | 152 | 155 | 3 | 2.19 |
| KFRC042 | 739812 | 6979976 | 514 | 225 | -63 | 49 | 114 | 159 | **45** | **1.24** |
| | | | | incl | | | 114 | 117 | **3** | **6.79** |
| | | | | and | | | 149 | 153 | **4** | **3.74** |
| | | | | | | | 178 | 180 | 2 | 7.82 |
| | | | | | | | 189 | 199 | 10 | 0.98 |
| | | | | incl | | | 189 | 195 | 6 | 1.45 |
| | | | | | | | 205 | 214 | **9** | **2.12** |
| | | | | incl | | | 205 | 207 | **2** | **6.74** |
| KFRC043 | 739859 | 6979958 | 514 | 205 | -64 | 53 | 67 | 79 | 12 | 0.46 |
| | | | | | | | 114 | 135 | **21** | **0.96** |
| | | | | incl | | | 116 | 119 | 3 | 1.78 |
| | | | | and | | | 127 | 131 | 4 | 1.77 |
| KFRC044 | 739862 | 6979938 | 514 | 199 | -65 | 51 | 103 | 138 | **35** | **0.71** |
| | | | | incl | | | 128 | 136 | **8** | **1.62** |
| | | | | | | | 146 | 148 | 2 | 1.36 |
| | | | | | | | 154 | 164 | **10** | **1.83** |
| | | | | incl | | | 157 | 162 | **5** | **3.08** |

*Notes: All coordinates are GDA94 zone 50, all intercepts use a 0.2 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, 2m maximum internal dilution and all intercepts >2.0 GxM are reported. NSR = no significant result >2.0 GxM.*

## Table D: Significant Drill Hole Intercepts (>2 GxM) – Hawk RC Drilling

| Hole ID | East | North | RL | Depth | Dip | Azi | From | To | Width | Au g/t |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HKRC025 | 740535 | 6978556 | 512 | 192 | -56 | 53 | 145 | 149 | 4 | 0.67 |
| HKRC026 | 740536 | 6978578 | 512 | 174 | -57 | 55 | 140 | 152 | **12** | **2.30** |
| | | | | incl | | | 140 | 146 | **6** | **4.26** |
| HKRC027 | 740512 | 6978590 | 512 | 186 | -55 | 51 | 159 | 164 | **5** | **3.46** |

*Notes: All coordinates are GDA94 zone 50, all intercepts use a 0.2 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, 2m maximum internal dilution and all intercepts >2.0 GxM are reported. NSR = no significant result >2.0 GxM.*

For personal use only

**Table E: Significant Drill Hole Intercepts (>2 GxM) – Swan RC Drilling**

| Hole ID | East | North | RL | Depth | Dip | Azi | From | To | Width | Au g/t |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SBRC146 | 739340 | 6983711 | 524 | 60 | -58 | 270 | 20 | 31 | 11 | 0.31 |
| SBRC147 | 739325 | 6983710 | 524 | 70 | -55 | 90 | 28 | 34 | 6 | 1.23 |
| | | | | | | | 39 | 43 | 4 | 0.65 |
| | | | | incl | | | 42 | 43 | 1 | 2.12 |
| SBRC148 | 739340 | 6983690 | 523 | 60 | -55 | 90 | | | | NSR |
| SBRC149 | 739325 | 6983691 | 524 | 78 | -55 | 90 | | | | NSR |
| SBRC150 | 739265 | 6983695 | 520 | 156 | -55 | 90 | 45 | 61 | **16** | **1.84** |
| | | | | incl | | | 46 | 53 | **7** | **3.03** |
| | | | | | | | 67 | 75 | 8 | 0.35 |

*Notes: All coordinates are GDA94 zone 50, all intercepts use a 0.2 g/t Au lower cut, no upper cut, 2m maximum internal dilution and all intercepts >2.0 GxM are reported. NSR = no significant intercept >2.0 GxM*

**This ASX announcement was authorised for release by the Horizon Board.**

For further information contact:

Scott Williamson
Managing Director
+61 8 6331 6092
info@horizongold.com.au

Investor Hub

For personal use only

For personal use only

***Competent Persons Statement:***
*The information in this announcement that relates to exploration activities and exploration results is based on information compiled by Mr Leigh Ryan, who is a member of The Australasian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Ryan is the General Manager - Exploration at Horizon Gold Limited and holds shares and options in the Company, Mr Ryan has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Ryan consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on information provided in the form and context in which it appears.*

***No New Information or Data:***
*The information in this announcement that relates to Horizon's production targets and financial forecasts for the Gum Creek Gold Project is extracted from Horizon's ASX announcement titled "Gum Creek Open Pit DFS Confirms Pathway for WA's Next Major Gold Mine Development" dated 22 July 2026 and which is available at www.asx.com.au. Horizon believes it has a reasonable basis for making the forward-looking statements in this presentation, including with respect to all production targets and financial forecasts, based on the information contained in that announcement. Horizon confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the production targets, or the financial forecast information, contained in that announcement of the Company's Definitive Feasibility Study for the Gum Creek Gold Project ("DFS") and confirms that all material assumptions underpinning the production targets and the financial forecast information in that announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. Horizon confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in Horizon announcement on 22 July 2026 and, in the case of estimates of Ore Reserves and Mineral Resources, that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant ASX announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed.*

*This announcement contains references to Ore Reserves and Mineral Resource estimates, all of which have been cross referenced to previous market announcements. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any additional information or data that materially affects the information included in the relevant market announcements and, in the case of estimates of Ore Reserves and Mineral Resources, that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed.*

***Production Target***
*The Production Target (and forecast financial information derived from the Production Target) referred to in this announcement is underpinned by 76% Probable Ore Reserves, 18% Indicated Resource ounces outside of the Ore Reserves and 6% Inferred Resources ounces. The first 5 years of the Production Target is underpinned by approximately 97% Probable Ore Reserve ounces, and approximately 3% Inferred Mineral Resource ounces. There is a low level of geological confidence associated with Inferred Mineral Resources and there is no certainty that further exploration work will result in the determination of Indicated Mineral Resources or that the Production Target itself (or the forecast financial information) will be realised.*

*The Company believes it has a reasonable basis to disclose a production target that includes some Inferred Mineral Resources, for example as the Inferred Resources are not a determining factor in the viability of the Gum Creek Gold Project. Importantly, the feasibility of the development scenario outlined in the DFS does not hinge on the current Inferred Mineral Resources. Also, the Inferred Mineral Resources do not feature as a significant proportion early in the mine plan. To achieve the range of outcomes anticipated in the DFS, an estimated A$350m of initial capital will be required. The Company believes the assumptions made are reasonable to enable it to obtain the requisite funding required to develop the Project, as detailed in the ASX announcement titled "Gum Creek Open Pit DFS Confirms Pathway for WA's Next Major Gold Mine Development" dated 22 July 2026. Reference should also be made to other disclaimers included in that announcement. Investors should note that there is no certainty that Horizon will be able to raise the amount of funding when needed (nor any certainty as to the form such capital raising may take, such as equity, debt, hybrid and/or other capital raising, nor whether the Production Target, financial forecasts or other forward-looking information in this announcement may be achieved). It is also possible that such funding may only be available on terms that may be dilutive to or otherwise affect the value of Horizon's existing shares.*

***Forward Looking Statements:***

*This ASX announcement may contain certain "forward-looking statements" which may not have been based solely on historical facts but rather may be based on the Company's current expectations about future events and results. Where the Company expresses or implies an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. However, forward looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks include, but are not limited to metals price volatility, currency fluctuations, as well as political and operational risks and governmental regulation and judicial outcomes.*

For personal use only

# APPENDIX 2: JORC TABLE 1 (SECTIONS 1 AND 2)

## Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data

*(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)*

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| **Sampling techniques** | • Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.<br>• Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.<br>• Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.<br>• In cases where „industry standard" work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg „reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay"). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. | • Reverse Circulation (RC) drill holes were routinely sampled at 1m intervals down the hole. The upper sections of some holes were sampled at 2m intervals.<br>• Samples were collected at the drill rig using an industry standard rig-mounted cone splitter to collect a nominal 2 - 3 kg sub sample in a numbered calico sample bag, with the remaining sample retained at the drill site for future resampling and/or metallurgical sampling if required.<br>• Routine standard reference material, sample blanks, and sample duplicates were inserted/collected at every 25th sample in the sample sequence.<br>• All RC samples were submitted to Australian Laboratory Services (ALS) in Perth for preparation (including pulverising) to produce a 50g sub-sample for analysis for gold by 50g Fire Assay.<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br>• All RC samples were collected at 1m intervals through the drill rig cyclone and then split via riffle and cone splitters. RC samples were typically dry. Composite samples were collected by tube sampling the bulk RC sample bags.<br>• Initially assaying utilised the aqua regia process but most assays used in this report have been by fire assay with an AAS finish using the site laboratory or off-site laboratories. A 50g charge was generally used.<br>• After the year 2000, samples (mainly grade control) were assayed at the accredited on-site laboratory at Gidgee using the Leachwell method. Leachwell cyanide (bottle-roll) assays are apparently more predictive of expected recoveries from Carbon-in-Pulp gold recovery plants, so provide a more realistic grade estimate. |
| **Drilling techniques** | • Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). | • All RC holes were completed by reverse circulation (RC) drilling techniques using a DR05 SREPS SR650 drill rig and auxiliary compressor.<br>• Drill rod diameter was 4.5" (114mm) and drill bit diameter was nominally 143mm to 146mm.<br>• A face sampling down hole hammer (5' type 760 SREPS) was used at all times.<br>• All RC drill holes were surveyed for down hole deviation using an Axis Champ Navigator north seeking downhole gyro with downhole readings collected every 5m.<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br>• RC drilling was completed with industry standard RC drill rigs using a 4.5" to 5.5" drill bit with either a cross-over sub or a face sampling hammer. |

For personal use only

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| **Drill sample recovery** | • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.<br>• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.<br>• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. | • A qualitative estimate of sample recovery was done for each RC sample metre collected from the drill rig.<br>• A qualitative estimate of RC sample weight was completed to ensure consistency of sample size and to monitor sample recoveries.<br>• Most RC material was dry when sampled, with damp and wet samples noted in sample sheets and referred to when assays were received.<br>• RC sample recovery and quality is considered to be adequate for the drilling technique employed.<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br>• Where documented, RC drilling returned good recoveries, however drill recoveries for some historical holes are not known.<br>• All RC samples were split and mixed in the riffle splitting process.<br>• There is no evidence of there being sample bias due to non-representative or preferential sampling.<br>• No apparent relationships were noted in relation to sample recovery and grade. |
| **Logging** | • Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.<br>• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.<br>• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. | • All RC drill holes were geologically logged by a qualified Geologist.<br>• Qualitative and quantitative geological logging recorded colour, grain size, weathering, oxidation, lithology, alteration, veining and mineralisation including the abundance of specific minerals, veining, and alteration using an industry standard logging and geological coding system.<br>• A small sample of all RC drill material was retained in chip trays for future reference and validation of geological logging.<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br>• All historical drill holes have been logged using the various company logging codes. The type of drill log varies with time depending on drill technique, year and company.<br>• Logging included codes and descriptions of weathering, oxidation, lithology, alteration and veining.<br>• Geological logging is qualitative and based on visual field estimates.<br>• Not all RC logs have been converted to a digital format. |
| **Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation** | • If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.<br>• If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.<br>• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.<br>• Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.<br>• Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.<br>• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. | • All RC samples were cone split at the drill rig with sample size and wet/dry notes made on RC sample sheets.<br>• RC duplicate samples were taken every 25 samples to evaluate whether samples were representative and as a check on laboratory methods.<br>• Sample preparation was undertaken by ALS Perth.<br>• At the laboratory, samples were weighed, dried and crushed to -6mm. The crushed sample was subsequently bulk-pulverised in an LM5 ring mill to achieve a nominal particle size of 85% passing <75um.<br>• Sample sizes and laboratory preparation techniques are considered to be appropriate for the commodity being targeted.<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br>• RC sampling involved 1m RC cuttings, split using riffle splitter in dry materials and a wedge splitter or rotary splitter in wet materials. Usually a 2 - 3kg sample was retained.<br>• Where it has been suspected that drillholes were drilled down dip, scissor holes have been drilled.<br>• Most drilling showed good sample recovery with the exception of some holes drilled in 1989. All RC samples were thoroughly mixed in the riffle splitting process. There is no stated evidence of there being sample bias due to preferential sampling. There is no relationship between sample recovery and grade.<br>• Sample sizes and laboratory preparation techniques are considered to be appropriate for the commodity being targeted. |

For personal use only

For personal use only

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| **Quality of assay data and laboratory tests** | • The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.<br>• For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.<br>• Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established | • Analysis for gold only was undertaken at ALS Perth using 50g Fire Assay with AAS finish to a lower detection limit of 0.01ppm. Fire assay is considered a "total" assay technique.<br>• No geophysical tools or other non-assay instrument types were used in the analyses reported.<br>• Review of routine standard reference material and sample blanks suggest there are no significant analytical bias or preparation errors in the reported analyses.<br>• Results of analyses from field sample duplicates are consistent with the style of mineralisation being evaluated and considered to be representative of the geological zones which were sampled.<br>• Internal laboratory QAQC checks are reported by the laboratory.<br>• Review of the internal laboratory QAQC suggests the laboratory is performing within acceptable limits.<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br>• Initially, assaying utilised the aqua regia process but most assays referred to in this report have been by fire assay with an AAS finish using the site laboratory or off-site laboratories. A 50g charge was used. After 2000, samples were assayed at the Gidgee accredited mine-site laboratory using the Leachwell method with approximately 30g of sample pulverised to 85% passing -200 mesh. The analytic techniques are considered appropriate. Where coarse gold occurred, offsite screen fire assaying was carried out using a 105 micron sieve.<br>• Samples were submitted to off-site laboratories with check assays carried out in 1988. Further check assays were carried out in other years however this data has not been analysed. Some CRMs and blank samples were used prior to 2002 however there is insufficient information to complete an accurate analysis. There are records of laboratory standards and blanks having been submitted post 2002 and an analysis of these shows good correlation between results. No evidence has been found in the mining process that there were issues with assaying. An analysis of duplicates showed that in general the precision of samples was adequate. |
| **Verification of sampling and assaying** | • The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.<br>• The use of twinned holes.<br>• Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.<br>• Discuss any adjustment to assay data. | • All RC sampling data was recorded in the field in hard copy form, and subsequently data entered into Excel spreadsheets.<br>• Assay results are merged with sampling data using established database protocols run in-house by HRN.<br>• Digital data (Excel spreadsheets) were uploaded into a relational database and validated by experienced database personnel and geological staff. Plans, cross sections and long sections were generated, and visual validation was completed in 3D (Micromine) as further quality control.<br>• Twin holes were not utilized to verify results; however, some infill verification holes were completed to test the strike continuity of mineralisation. Virtually all drilling confirmed expected geological and mineralogical interpretations.<br>• The deposits are reasonably continuous in terms of mineralisation and grade. The continuity and consistency of the grade intercepts down dip and along strike give reasonable confidence in the verification of the grade and style of deposit.<br>• All historic reported data has been reported in technical reports submitted by previous tenement holders to the Western Australian Government which are now available as open file.<br>• No adjustments were made to assay data except for replacing less than detection limit values with negative detection limit numerical values.<br>• All significant intersections reported have been compiled and reviewed by senior geological personnel from the Company. |
| **Location of data points** | • Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.<br>• Specification of the grid system used. | • Drill hole collar locations were determined using GDA94 Zone 50 coordinates and datum.<br>• Drill hole collars were positioned and picked up on hole completion using a Carlson BRx7 DGPS (GDA94 Zone 50). DGPS eastings, northings and RL's have been used for all RC hole collars.<br>• The topography at all prospects drilled is flat, however 3D topographic surfaces or Digital Terrain Models (DTMs) were built |

For personal use only

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| | • Quality and adequacy of topographic control. | using a combination of mine surveyor pickups, drill hole DGPS RL pickups and RL's from specifically selected DGPS points.<br>• Recently flown LiDAR survey data has been used to check all collar RL's.<br>• Locational accuracy at the collar and down the drill hole is considered appropriate for this stage of exploration and for resource estimation work.<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br><br>• Planned drill hole locations were positioned by either hand-held global positioning satellite (GPS) in AMG84 or GDA94 zone 50 datums or pegged on local grids by a mine surveyor and transformed to GDA94 coordinates. The majority of holes have subsequently been picked up by DGPS and were generally found to be within 1m horizontal and 1m vertical accuracy.<br>• Historic drilling coordinates include both local, AMG84 and GDA94 coordinates. The Company database contains all sets of coordinates, but for the purpose of this report all grid coordinates are GDA94 – Zone 50 grid datum.<br>• The topography at all prospects drilled is flat.<br>• All drill collars were displayed in Micromine and visually checked against the DTMs. The DTMs were created using a combination of surveyed pit and waste dump pickups, DGPS pickups of historical and more recent drill hole collars, and specifically selected DGPS pickup points. RL data bias or error is considered low given the flat topography at all prospects reported.<br>• Down-hole surveys were routinely performed every 5m to 30m using a range of single shot, electronic multi-shot and north seeking gyro tools. A visual check of the traces in Micromine was also completed, with no anomalous surveys being identified. All down survey data is recorded in the Company's drill hole database.<br>• Survey details for some historical holes are not known.<br>• Location data is considered to be of sufficient accuracy for reporting of mineral resources. |
| **Data spacing and distribution** | • Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.<br>• Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.<br>• Whether sample compositing has been applied. | • RC holes reported were drilled at 20m spacings on sections generally 20m apart (or on 40m infill sections). All holes were drilled between -52$^0$ and -60$^0$ and towards various bearings (as per details in tables C to E).<br>• The reported drilling has not yet been used to estimate any mineral resources or reserves, however the infill nature of the drilling and the resulting drill hole distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for Mineral Resource estimation procedures and classifications.<br>• Sample compositing was not applied to the reported intervals. |
| **Orientation of data in relation to geological structure** | • Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.<br>• If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. | • Drilling has targeted known mineralisation which has been previously drilled in some detail. Holes have therefore generally been drilled to intersect target zones at an optimal orientation (perpendicular) and no significant sampling bias is expected. |
| **Sample security** | • The measures taken to ensure sample security. | • Samples are stored on site in a locked compound before being delivered by company personnel to the Toll/Global Express depot in Meekatharra, prior to road transport to the laboratory in Perth via a large reputable trucking company (normally Toll or Global Express).<br><br><u>Pre-2014 Drillholes</u><br><br>• There is no evidence to suggest inadequate drill sample security prior to 2014. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| **Audits or reviews** | • The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. | • There have been no external audits or reviews of the Company's sampling techniques or data.<br><br>Pre-2014 Drillholes<br><br>• An Audit was carried out in 2003 by Resource Evaluations Pty Ltd. The only issue raised was that a Kempe diamond rig was used for underground drilling and the resulting BQ core samples may have been too small. Underground drilling assays have not been reported here. |

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| ***Mineral tenement and land tenure status*** | • Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.<br>• The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. | The tenements are located in the Murchison region of Western Australia and extend from ~60km to ~130km north of Sandstone. The southern half of the Gum Creek Gold Project lies dominantly within the Gidgee Pastoral Lease, which is owned by Gum Creek Gold Mines Pty Ltd (a wholly owned subsidiary of Horizon Gold Limited). The northern half of the Project mainly lies within the Youno Downs Pastoral Lease.<br><br>Environmental liabilities at Gum Creek pertain to historical mining activities mainly within M57/634.<br><br>All drilling occurred on Mining Lease M57/634 (Kingfisher, Hawk and Swan) which is held 100% by Gum Creek Gold Mines Pty Ltd.<br><br>No Native Title applications or Native Title determined areas cover M57/634.<br><br>Various royalties exist over specific parts of certain mining leases as noted in Section 8 of the Horizon Gold Ltd prospectus ASX announcement dated 19 December 2016. |
| ***Exploration done by other parties*** | • Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. | Significant historical exploration work has been completed via "industry standard" procedures by other Companies including geochemical surface sampling, mapping, airborne and surface geophysical surveys, and substantial RAB, RC and DD drilling.<br><br>The project boasts a long list of reputable previous owners and operators including: Pancontinental Mining Ltd, Dalrymple Resources, Metana Resources, Noranda Pty Ltd, Legend Mining Ltd, Kundana Gold Pty Ltd, Goldfields Kalgoorlie Ltd, Australian Resources Ltd, Arimco Mining Pty Ltd, Apex Gold Pty Ltd, Abelle Ltd and Panoramic Resources Ltd.<br><br>The Gum Creek Gold Project has previously been mined for gold by open pit and underground techniques. Exploration and mining completed by previous owners since discovery has led to good understanding of geology, rock mechanics and mineralisation especially within the areas mined. |
| ***Geology*** | • Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. | The project is located in the Gum Creek Greenstone Belt, within the northern part of the Southern Cross Province of the Youanmi Terrane, a part of the Archaean Yilgarn craton in Western Australia. The Gum Creek Greenstone belt forms a lensoid, broadly sinusoidal structure approximately 110 km long and 24 km wide. It is dominated by mafic volcanic and sedimentary sequences.<br><br>Kingfisher<br>Gold mineralisation at Kingfisher is located within two moderate southwest-dipping, planar gold lodes within a +60m wide, +1.4km long shear zone (Gidgee Shear) that remains open to the north, south and at depth. Both lodes are interpreted to contain moderate to shallow south plunging high grade gold shoots forming part of an overlapping en-echelon vein array stepping down to the north. Gold mineralisation is associated with quartz-sulphide veining within sheared, strongly sericite - carbonate - fuchsite - sulphide altered amygdaloidal basalt units (hanging wall), strongly foliated fine-grained sediments, and volcaniclastic sediments (main mineralised zone), and pillow basalts (footwall). Weathering extends 60 to 100m below surface and extensive supergene enrichment often overlays primary mineralisation. |

For personal use only

For personal use only

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| | | Hawk<br>Gold mineralisation at Hawk is associated with quartz veined limonitic saprolite and pyritic sericite-silica altered basalt within two sub-parallel, steeply south-west dipping shear zones containing abundant flat-lying quartz tension veins. Mineralisation is continuous over a 540 metre strike, is currently defined to a maximum vertical depth of ~140 metres with high grade gold mineralisation potentially plunging to the south similar to the Kingfisher high-grade shoots. The base of complete oxidation extends to over 120 metres below surface and high-grade supergene enrichment overlays primary gold mineralisation.<br><br>Swan<br>Gold mineralisation at Swan occurs as complex conjugate quartz-carbonate vein arrays associated with brittle dilational openings developed along major shear zones within mafic host rocks. Carbonate-sulphide wall rock alteration is common about mineralised zones and extensive supergene enrichment often overlays primary mineralisation zones.<br>The open cut resource comprises covers an area of ~1.3km by ~1.5km and extends to a maximum depth of around 220m.<br>The Swan deposit is interpreted as moderate NE dipping and shallow SE dipping conjugate vein sets emanating from the broader north-south striking steeply dipping shear zone (Gidgee Shear). High grade shoots form at the intersection of conjugate vein sets along the Gidgee Shear. |
| ***Drill hole Information*** | • A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:<br>  • easting and northing of the drill hole collar<br>  • elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar<br>  • dip and azimuth of the hole<br>  • down hole length and interception depth<br>  • hole length.<br>• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. | Relevant drill hole information and reported results are tabulated within the body of this announcement.<br>The drill holes reported have the following parameters applied;<br>• Grid co-ordinates are GDA94 zone 50<br>• Collar elevation is defined as height above sea level in metres (RL)<br>• Dip is the inclination of the hole from the horizontal. Azimuth is reported in GDA94 zone 50 degrees as the direction toward which the hole is drilled.<br>• Depth of the hole is the distance from the surface to the end of the hole, as measured along the drill trace.<br>• Intercept Width is the down hole distance of an intercept as measured along the drill trace. |
| ***Data aggregation methods*** | • In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.<br>• Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in | • All drill hole intersections are reported from 1 metre down hole samples (but may include 2m composite samples where noted).<br>• Intersection gold grade is calculated as length weighted average of sample grades.<br>• A minimum cut-off grade of 0.2g/t Au is applied to the reported intervals.<br>• Maximum internal dilution is 2m within a reported interval.<br>• No top cut-off grade has been applied.<br>• No metal equivalent reporting is used or applied.<br>• All intercepts greater than 2.0 GxM are reported in Tables C to E. |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| | detail.<br>• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. | |
| ***Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths*** | • These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.<br>• If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.<br>• If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg down hole length, true width not known'). | Kingfisher<br>Primary gold mineralisation at Kingfisher dips ~55$^0$ to the southwest with drilling oriented at right angles to strike and at ~65$^0$ to dip implying true width of mineralisation to be ~91% of intercept width (this assumes a -60$^0$ drill hole dip at reported intercept depths).<br><br>Hawk<br>Primary gold mineralisation at Hawk dips ~50$^0$ to the southwest with drilling oriented at right angles to strike and at ~70$^0$ to dip implying true width of mineralisation to be ~94% of intercept width (assuming a -60$^0$ drill hole dip at reported intercept depths). The orientation of oxide/supergene mineralisation at Hawk varies and is generally flat lying, so true widths of drill intercepts at depths <50m will be ~90% of intercept width.<br><br>Swan<br>The orientation of oxide/supergene mineralisation at Swan is generally flat lying, so true widths of drill intercepts at depths <~50m will be approximately ~87% of intercept width (this assumes a -60$^0$ drill hole dip at reported intercept depths).<br><br>Primary gold mineralisation dips steeply west (~80$^0$), moderately NE (~60$^0$), and shallowly to the SE (~30$^0$) at Swan. The relationship between drill hole angle and dip of mineralisation varies depending on the specific area but includes:<br>• ~80$^0$ dip to mineralisation with drilling oriented at approx. right angles to strike implies a true width of mineralisation to be ~64% of intercept width (this assumes a -60$^0$ drill hole dip at reported intercept depths).<br>• ~60$^0$ dip to mineralisation with drilling oriented at approx. right angles to strike implies a true width of mineralisation to be ~87% of intercept width (this assumes a -60$^0$ drill hole dip at reported intercept depths).<br>• ~30$^0$ dip to mineralisation with drilling oriented at approx. right angles to strike implies a true width of mineralisation to be ~100% of intercept width (this assumes a -60$^0$ drill hole dip at reported intercept depths). |
| ***Diagrams*** | • Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. | Appropriate drill hole plans, sections and tables of significant intercepts are included in this announcement. |
| ***Balanced reporting*** | • Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. | Drilling results have been comprehensively reported in this announcement. All information considered material to the reader's understanding of the Exploration Results and data has been reported. |
| ***Other substantive exploration data*** | • Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. | There is no other exploration data which is considered material to the results to be reported in this announcement. |

For personal use only

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary |
|---|---|---|
| ***Further work*** | • The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).<br>• Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. | Diagrams highlighting possible extensions to mineralisation are included in the body of the announcement and further drilling where appropriate will be undertaken to follow up the results reported.<br><br>Metallurgical test work has been completed on all prospects referred to in this announcement. |

For personal use only