For personal use only

NEW SECTION DELIVERS 119M OF HIGH-GRADE REE FROM SURFACE

119m at 4.94% TREO	10m at 12.31% TREO	2m at 2.65% MREO
Surface to 119m	From 41m to 51m	2m at 14.28% TREO from 49m

Highlights

- **Additional results from MFSR-056 intersect thick high-grade rare earth mineralisation from surface to 119m including:**
  - **119m at 4.94% TREO with 0.89% (or 8,906 ppm)** MREO in whole rock from surface including
  - **98m at 5.09% TREO** with 0.89**%** (**or 8,868 ppm)** MREO in whole rock from surface, including
  - **10m at 12.31%** TREO with 1.88% (or **18,789 ppm) MREO** from 41m
- **REO mineralisation has been extended in depth** in drillhole MFSR-056; hole drilled to test the next section line, 57m northwest of the initial drilling baseline
- **REO mineralisation expanded:** MFSR-056 was designed to test the geometry of the MDF deposit to the north-east and has confirmed mineralisation, with the boundary of mineralisation yet to be defined
- **The Exploration Target modelled** that mineralisation extends repeatedly in REO depth and range, both vertically and to the northwest, is confirmed by this latest result

Power Minerals Limited (ASX: PNN | OTCQB: PEIMF) (hereafter referred to as "Power" or "the Company") is pleased to report further high-grade Magnet Rare Earth Oxide (MREO) and Total Rare Earth Oxide (TREO) results from its Morro do Ferro Project in Brazil.

The Company announced on 29 September 2026 that it had received the initial assay results for 20 samples collected from drillhole MFSR-056. The new analyses are complete and further enhance the interval to surface above the previous results, demonstrating continued evidence of **exceptionally high-grade rare earth oxide (REO) mineralisation** extending from the surface to considerable depths in this hole.

REGISTERED OFFICE
Suite 6, Level 1
389 Oxford Street
Mount Hawthorn, WA 6019

T: +61 8 6385 2299
E: admin@powerminerals.com.au
w: powerminerals.com.au

Power Minerals Limited
ASX Code: PNN

For personal use only

Summary of Results of Drilling Program

Hole	Interval (downhole)	Width (m)	TREO %	MREO % whole rock
MFSR-056	0-119 m (from surface)	119	4.94	0.89
	Incl. 41- 51 m	10	12.31	1.88
MFSR-054	0- 88 m (from surface)	88	6.33	1.19
	Incl. 0- 50 m	50	9.0	1.66
	Incl. 18- 38 m	20	12.05	2.18
	Plus extra 88- 181 m	93	1.06	0.23
	Then extra 181-186 m	5	4.32	1.13
	Incl. 184- 186 m	2	7.85	2.11
MFSR-053	0 – 119.3m (from surface)	108.55	4.15	0.82
	incl. 0 – 35m	29.25	9.01	1.32
	incl. 69.55 – 76m	6.45	9.34	2.87
	incl. 19 – 45.9m	26.9	13	2.4
	incl. 12 – 14m	2	19.51	1.98
	peak sample 69.55 – 71m	1.45	13.84	4.4
MFSR-052	0 – 137m (from surface)	137	4.31	0.73
	incl. 0 – 105m	105	5.45	0.93
	incl. 0 – 53m	53	9.87	1.69
	incl. 19 – 45.9m	26.9	13	2.4
	peak sample 39 – 41m (MFP-0178)	2	19.51	3.96
MFSR-051	0 – 116m (from surface)	116	4.78	0.78
	incl. 14 – 45m	31	10	1.58
	peak sample (2m interval)	2	18.12	3.66

Table 1. Ranked significant intersections: MFSR-051, MFSR-052, MFSR-053, MFSR-054, MFSR-056. Downhole widths: weighted averages of all samples across the reported length, with no cut-off unless stated otherwise, and may not represent true values. Results for MFSR-051, MFSR-052, MFSR-053, MFSR-054 and MFSR-056 in ASX announcements dated 31 July, 24 August, 27 August, and 4, 7 and 29 September 2026, respectively.

This drillhole was positioned northwest of the original drilling baseline to improve assessment of mineralisation extension along the subsequent section line, which is situated 57 metres to the northwest.

Assays received to date demonstrate continuous TREO mineralisation from the surface to a depth of at least 119 metres.

For personal use only

The findings from this drill hole corroborate the previously identified mineralisation depth on the north-eastern flank of the MDF deposit, surpassing earlier drilling results. Notably, as seen in other drillholes, the drilling interval included a 4.4 metre downhole magnetite dyke starting at 59.5 metres, which exhibited a weighted average of 2,825 ppm TREO.

Initial geological evaluations indicate a potential link between rare earth carbonate mineralisation and a hydrothermal system. To accurately define its extent and geometry, further drilling and comprehensive geological analyses will be required.

Highlight results received to date for MFSR-056 include:

- **119m at 4.94% TREO with 0.89% (or 8,906 ppm)** MREO in whole rock from surface including
- **6m at 8.91% TREO** with **1.89%** (**or 18,889 ppm)** MREO in whole rock from 92m, including
- **2m at 11.05% (or 110,554 ppm) TREO with 2.27% (or 22,735 ppm) MREO in whole rock from 96m**

Commentary

Drillhole MFSR-056 represents the initial findings from the second section line of drilling, located 57 metres northwest and elevated from the previously drilled baseline section. This outcome underscores the continuation of the previously identified high-grade zone at depth, as reflected in earlier section lines and aligned with our Exploration Target model (refer to ASX announcement dated 22 September 2026).

Currently, assay results for several drillholes are still pending and will be released progressively as they become available. Notably, the assay results for drillhole MFSR-054 (as mentioned in ASX announcements on 4 and 7 September 2026, located along the base section and had intersected a new high-grade TREO zone, are also pending. Thus far, the results released from MFSR-054 include:

- **88m at 6.33% TREO (equivalent to 63,312 ppm), which includes 1.19% MREO (or 11,937 ppm) of whole rock from the surface, then**
- **93m at 1.06% TREO with 2,258ppm MREO from 88m, and then additionally:**
- **5m at 4.32% TREO with 1.13% (or 11,333 ppm) MREO in whole rock from 181m in a new high-grade ZONE, including:**
- **2m at 7.85% (or 78,353 ppm) TREO with 2.11% (or 21,139 ppm) MREO from 184m in**
- **Results for the rest of the drillhole MFSR-054 are still pending.**

For personal use only

Power Minerals Chief Executive Officer, Alistair Stephens, said:

"This is yet another strong result from Morro do Ferro, with MFSR-56 returning 119 metres at 4.94% TREO from surface, including 10 metres at 12.31% TREO. Importantly, this is the first result from our next drill section, 57 metres northwest of the original baseline, and supports our interpretation that the high-grade rare earth mineralisation continues beyond the area defined by our initial drilling. With mineralisation remaining open and further assay results pending, our focus is now on systematically defining its geometry and extent, while advancing metallurgical testwork and the work required for our maiden Mineral Resource estimate."




Figure 1. Cross-section looking northwest showing the section with MFSR-056 further up the hill, together with drillholes along the Base Section further southeast. MFSR-056 is at a higher elevation than previous drillholes, and the high-grade TREO (red-purple) extends lower. The whole-rock TREO percentage is colour-coded on the drill traces. Not all results are available for the lower and upper parts of MFSR-056 and the lower part of MFSR-054. Results for MFSR-051, MFSR-052, MFSR-053, MFSR-054, and MFSR-056 are provided in ASX announcements dated 31 July, 24 and 27 August, 4, 7 and 29 September 2026, respectively.

REGISTERED OFFICE
Suite 6, Level 1
389 Oxford Street
Mount Hawthorn WA 6019

T: +61 8 6385 2299
E: admin@powerminerals.com.au
w: powerminerals.com.au

Power Minerals Limited
ASX Code: PNN

For personal use only




Figure 2. Location map showing current Power Minerals Morro do Ferro drillholes and intercepts (see ASX announcements dated 31 July, 24 and 27 August, 4, 7, 29 September 2026). Drilling is progressing across and along strike from the central location (Base Section). Topographic contour lines are at 1m intervals from the drone LiDAR survey by Power.

Authorised for release by the Board of Power Minerals Limited.

For further information, please contact:

Power Minerals Limited
E: admin@powerminerals.com.au
T: +61 6385 2299

Additional information is available at www.powerminerals.com.au

For personal use only

About Power Minerals Limited

Power Minerals Limited (ASX: PNN) is an exploration and development company focused on advancing strategic critical minerals projects in South America. The Company's core assets include the Morro do Ferro Rare Earths Project in Brazil and the Salta Lithium Project in Argentina, supported by a broader portfolio of exploration and development assets.

Power Minerals is focused on systematically advancing these projects through exploration, resource definition, metallurgical testwork and development studies, with the objective of establishing commercially viable sources of critical minerals for global supply chains.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement relating to exploration results in respect of the Morro do Ferro REO Project in Brazil is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation prepared by Steven Cooper, FAusIMM (No 108265), FGS (No.1030687). Mr Cooper is the Global Exploration Manager and is a full-time employee of the Company. Mr Cooper has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Cooper consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

This announcement contains references to exploration results that have been previously released on the ASX. Power Minerals confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed as per Listing Rule 5.23.2. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcements.

The interval results mentioned in this announcement represent weighted averages by distance of all samples across the full reported length, applying no upper or lower cut-offs, unless specified. Depths reported are downhole distances and may not represent true thickness. Full previous Morro do Ferro drillhole details are provided in Power Minerals ASX announcements dated 5 March, 8 April, 28 April, 31 July, 24, 27 August, 4, 7, 22 and 29 September 2026.

Power Minerals uses the following definitions:

- TREO (Total Rare Earth Oxides) = $[La_2O_3]$ + $[CeO_2]$ + $[Pr_6O_{11}]$ + $[Nd_2O_3]$ + $[Sm_2O_3]$ + $[Eu_2O_3]$ + $[Gd_2O_3]$ + $[Tb_4O_7]$ + $[Dy_2O_3]$ + $[Ho_2O_3]$ + $[Er_2O_3]$ + $[Tm_2O_3]$ + $[Yb_2O_3]$ + $[Lu_2O_3]$ + $[Y_2O_3]$
- MREO (Magnet Rare Earth Oxides) = $[Nd_2O_3]$ + $[Pr_6O_{11}]$ + $[Tb_4O_7]$ + $[Dy_2O_3]$

For personal use only

Forward-Looking Statements

This announcement contains forward-looking statements based on current expectations and assumptions, which are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially. These include project acquisition and divestment, joint venture, commodity price, exploration, development, operational, regulatory, environmental, title, funding and general economic risks. The Company undertakes no obligation to update these statements except as required by law.

Drillhole	Easting	Northing	RL	Depth	Azimuth	Dip	Type
MFSR-051	341842	7575913	1519	210.9	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-052	341820	7575892	1518	173.3	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-053	341834	7575904	1519	209.55	0	-90	HQ
MFSR-054	341805	7575870	1512	253.55	45	-50	HQ
MFSR-055	341844	7575890	1514	153.7	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-056	341816	7575970	1535	202.2	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-057	341815	7575923	1524	170.5	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-058	341743	7575907	1527	150.75	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-059	341866	7575872	1506	172	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-060	341806	7575814	1494	116.2	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-061	341907	7575981	1502	167.7	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-062	341748	7575828	1506	116.5	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-063	341881	7576037	1516	228.95	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-064	341972	7575980	1474	314.45	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-065	341962	7576037	1482	200.15	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-066	341968	7575913	1470	149.55	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-067	342134	7575800	1408	80.55	225	-60	HQ
MFSR-068	342101	7575761	1414	91.05	225	-60	HQ

Table 2. Morro do Ferro drillhole collar data. Datum is WGS84, Zone 23 South; azimuth is true north; total depth, RL, and coordinates are in metres. Samples from MFSR-053 to MFSR-068 have been dispatched to SGS Geosol Laboratory, and Power Minerals is awaiting results.



Figure 3. Drill core from MFSR-056 with the intervals marked for high-grade TREO samples MFP-0605 and MFP-0606, and parts of samples MFP-0604 and MFP-0607 (see Table 2). The material is fine-grained, soft reddish-brown, clayey **phonolite** saprolite. Photograph taken dry before cutting the core. Core recovery was measured at 100% (no core loss) over these intervals. The HQ core shown starts at the top-left, and the downhole direction is to the right; tray rows are one metre long.

For personal use only

REGISTERED OFFICE
Suite 6, Level 1
389 Oxford Street
Mount Hawthorn WA 6019

T: +61 8 6385 2299
E: admin@powerminerals.com.au
w: powerminerals.com.au

Power Minerals Limited
ASX Code: PNN

Drillhole	From	To	Sample	La_2O_3	CeO_2	Pr_6O_{11}	Nd_2O_3	Sm_2O_3	Eu_2O_3	Gd_2O_3	Tb_4O_7	Dy_2O_3	Ho_2O_3	Er_2O_3	Tm_2O_3	Yb_2O_3	$Lu2O_3$	Y_2O_3	TREO	MREO
MFSR-056	0	1.67	MFP-0576	9667	27100	1730	5279	571	151	367.9	47.9	224.5	36.3	84.2	10.66	63.30	8.11	854.0	46194	7282
MFSR-056	1.67	3	MFP-0577	14400	9334	2230	6580	723	194	512.7	63.2	297.2	48.6	110.2	13.17	71.30	8.87	1201.4	35789	9171
MFSR-056	3	5	MFP-0578	13500	22700	2150	6586	706	186	474.3	58.0	271.6	44.8	103.5	12.63	69.10	8.64	1125.7	47996	9066
MFSR-056	5	7	MFP-0580	2918	10768	528	1500	164	45	113.2	19.4	121.4	25.4	74.8	11.23	71.20	9.85	628.1	16998	2169
MFSR-056	7	9.3	MFP-0581	5187	14900	979	2686	282	71	164.1	24.8	138.4	26.3	70.5	9.75	57.80	7.68	609.3	25215	3828
MFSR-056	9.3	11.3	MFP-0582	14400	38300	2350	6597	680	169	390.7	55.0	294.8	54.4	141.8	18.38	99.90	12.27	1270.2	64832	9296
MFSR-056	11.3	13	MFP-0583	21400	43600	3640	10867	1098	268	611.3	75.9	361.6	60.7	150.2	18.55	102.40	12.58	1413.6	83680	14945
MFSR-056	13	15	MFP-0584	5428	20700	1049	2902	319	82	185.7	27.7	152.8	28.8	76.2	10.74	63.20	8.47	680.1	31714	4132
MFSR-056	15	17	MFP-0585	8131	31300	1480	4293	445	111	249.3	37.5	209.8	39.8	107.4	14.77	85.40	11.26	947.3	47462	6020
MFSR-056	17	19	MFP-0586	14300	52400	2330	6823	691	172	378.8	49.5	237.6	39.6	98.4	12.71	72.60	9.43	911.9	78527	9440
MFSR-056	19	21.4	MFP-0587	3428	32100	739	2190	243	63	141.1	21.3	111.6	19.8	53.5	7.24	44.20	5.71	418.3	39586	3062
MFSR-056	21.4	23	MFP-0588	4958	10494	887	2430	254	66	145.8	20.6	106.5	20.0	54.8	8.57	55.50	8.26	491.8	20001	3444
MFSR-056	23	25	MFP-0589	5602	8571	980	2648	279	73	158.0	21.9	114.6	21.0	56.3	8.43	52.90	7.64	530.5	19125	3765
MFSR-056	25	27	MFP-0590	3079	7946	540	1543	154	40	90.2	13.3	74.1	14.1	38.3	5.72	35.90	5.07	351.6	13931	2171
MFSR-056	27	29	MFP-0592	9401	37300	1610	5103	554	155	348.9	43.4	183.4	28.0	63.5	8.68	51.70	6.98	585.0	55443	6940
MFSR-056	29	30.2	MFP-0593	6220	9347	1130	3154	330	89	191.7	25.6	124.5	21.9	59.3	8.81	54.70	7.77	538.6	21302	4434
MFSR-056	30.2	32	MFP-0594	2797	6167	536	1597	165	44	101.7	14.6	80.8	15.9	45.0	6.97	45.50	6.81	367.0	11990	2228
MFSR-056	32	33	MFP-0595	3664	7471	720	1952	206	54	118.3	18.1	101.5	20.5	58.6	9.09	59.30	8.69	507.6	14969	2791
MFSR-056	33	35	MFP-0596	10857	28300	1920	5532	596	161	354.2	47.8	237.9	42.7	107.1	14.54	81.60	10.67	899.0	49161	7738
MFSR-056	35	37.5	MFP-0597	2697	16900	623	1779	197	53	114.0	17.4	93.7	18.3	50.4	7.66	48.10	6.73	368.3	22973	2513
MFSR-056	37.5	39	MFP-0598	2051	29200	452	1321	151	41	88.2	14.4	74.9	14.0	38.4	5.63	34.70	4.82	283.1	33773	1862
MFSR-056	39	41	MFP-0599	14100	33800	2450	7253	764	192	399.2	50.7	230.6	38.0	89.2	11.50	62.30	7.52	784.2	60232	9984
MFSR-056	41	43	MFP-0600	20200	64400	3360	9493	981	249	520.3	69.4	330.8	56.4	135.6	17.92	97.20	11.93	1182.9	**101105**	13253
MFSR-056	43	45	MFP-0601	28700	76400	4800	12700	1400	342	729.3	97.2	470.8	82.2	203.8	26.79	141.40	17.14	1796.5	**127907**	18068
MFSR-056	45	47	MFP-0603	35000	75700	5700	14900	1600	389	825.5	105.1	477.0	77.2	180.1	22.69	119.00	14.33	1770.0	**136880**	21182
MFSR-056	47	49	MFP-0604	23900	64400	3880	10648	1045	268	581.4	76.8	358.9	59.7	141.0	18.98	104.20	12.96	1277.9	**106774**	14964
MFSR-056	49	51	MFP-0605	40900	69400	7490	18300	2000	464	1025.4	125.0	564.2	92.3	214.7	27.56	150.40	19.18	2058.8	**142831**	26479
MFSR-056	51	53	MFP-0606	27300	47700	4360	12700	1300	297	654.0	82.3	372.8	61.6	141.7	17.67	92.30	11.14	1376.6	96467	17515

For personal use only

REGISTERED OFFICE
Suite 6, Level 1
389 Oxford Street
Mount Hawthorn WA 6019

T: +61 8 6385 2299
E: admin@powerminerals.com.au
w: powerminerals.com.au

Power Minerals Limited
ASX Code: PNN

For personal use only

Drillhole	From	To	Sample	La_2O_3	CeO_2	Pr_6O_{11}	Nd_2O_3	Sm_2O_3	Eu_2O_3	Gd_2O_3	Tb_4O_7	Dy_2O_3	Ho_2O_3	Er_2O_3	Tm_2O_3	Yb_2O_3	$Lu2O_3$	Y_2O_3	TREO	MREO
MFSR-056	53	55	MFP-0607	26500	40800	4330	12800	1300	298	651.7	80.4	356.6	57.7	131.3	16.43	88.10	11.04	1302.0	88724	17567
MFSR-056	55	57	MFP-0608	28000	39600	4730	12700	1400	323	683.5	85.0	382.8	61.8	145.0	18.66	102.00	12.77	1363.3	89608	17898
MFSR-056	57	59.5	MFP-0609	9226	10609	2020	5599	610	149	269.6	33.3	139.2	20.4	45.4	6.28	37.00	4.81	401.8	29172	7792
MFSR-056	59.5	61	MFP-0610	1327	2136	293	833	87	22	46.4	5.8	26.5	4.6	12.5	1.94	12.30	1.75	104.1	4913	1158
MFSR-056	61	63.9	MFP-0612	434	842	90	261	28	7	15.5	2.2	10.9	2.0	5.0	0.81	4.60	0.65	40.7	1745	364
MFSR-056	63.9	65	MFP-0613	4896	1842	1016	2806	306	80	172.5	22.3	101.0	15.6	38.5	5.66	35.40	4.89	312.0	11655	3945
MFSR-056	65	67	MFP-0614	24800	9708	3930	11300	1200	318	701.0	89.0	402.6	61.9	139.6	17.27	95.20	11.97	1136.0	53910	15722
MFSR-056	67	69	MFP-0615	15600	15200	2430	7118	771	204	445.0	57.2	255.9	38.0	83.5	10.67	58.60	7.44	721.4	43001	9861
MFSR-056	69	71	MFP-0616	4317	17100	956	2616	310	83	189.8	28.2	145.8	25.2	62.9	8.95	54.70	7.43	500.6	26407	3746
MFSR-056	71	73	MFP-0617	6059	27900	1280	3525	385	101	225.9	31.9	152.9	25.6	61.7	8.73	54.30	7.44	502.3	40320	4990
MFSR-056	73	75	MFP-0618	15500	34300	2630	7395	789	208	459.0	68.2	356.2	61.8	154.0	21.06	119.90	15.51	1268.4	63346	10449
MFSR-056	75	76.3	MFP-0620	15800	24500	2440	7174	747	193	424.5	55.2	260.4	43.4	100.8	12.99	71.90	9.11	929.4	52762	9930
MFSR-056	76.3	78	MFP-0621	9420	22400	1730	4980	545	144	321.7	43.7	212.5	36.5	86.8	11.49	64.50	8.27	774.7	40780	6966
MFSR-056	78	80	MFP-0622	14900	19800	2400	7269	791	211	478.5	64.2	308.3	50.9	118.9	15.35	86.90	11.68	1004.7	47510	10041

Table 3. SGS Geosol laboratory results for drillhole MFSR-056, surface to 80m. The results are significant REO analyses (> 5,000 ppm TREO), together with samples that are material to support the understanding of the exploration results. All distances are in metres downhole, and all concentrations are in ppm.

For personal use only

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1. Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (eg. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.* • *In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1m samples from which 3kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	• The exploration results for rare earth oxides (REO) shared in this ASX announcement from the Brazilian Morro do Ferro Project have been prepared using drillhole samples and results collected by Power Minerals Limited (PNN) during June-early October 2026. • The HQ diamond core drilling program commenced on 15 June 2026 by Power Minerals Ltd. The sixth drillhole (MFSR-056) reached a total downhole depth of 202.2 metres. Results for a total of 42 half-core samples from this drillhole were analysed by the SGS Geosol laboratory, Brazil. The drillhole MFSR-056 is triple-tube HQ diamond core and was oriented dipping -60° to the southwest. • In August 2026, following logging, measuring and cutting the core, Power Minerals dispatched the half-core samples for geochemical analyses by the commercial laboratory SGS Geosol using standard methods ICP95A and IMS95A. The analysis involved crushing, pulverisation to 95% <150#, lithium metaborate fusion, followed by ICP-OES/MS to determine the whole-rock concentration of 48 major oxides and trace elements (including LOI by PHY01E). For over-limit REE analyses, SGS Geosol used the method IMS95RS (metaborate fusion followed by ICP-MS finish). Due to SGS Geosol laboratory capacity restrictions, results are being received in small batches, and the majority of Power drilling results are still pending.
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (eg. core, reverse circulation, open- hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	• The current drilling program is executed using industry-standard wireline triple tube diamond core drilling by independent contractor Foraco Brasil. The completed drillholes were HQ diameter (63.5mm). Most drillholes are planned to be drilled at a dip angle of -60° towards azimuth 226°. This drillhole was oriented dipping -60° to the southwest to provide compatibility with existing modelling control. All cored drillholes will undergo downhole surveying upon completion.
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.*	• The diamond core was placed into plastic trays by the drilling contractor. The length of the core recovered was measured, and the recovery calculated. The core was digitally photographed, geotechnical data collected and logged, and magnetic susceptibility measured at regular intervals. The core was cut in half by hand using a steel blade, or a diamond saw if hard, and

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	the right-hand side was collected for analysis. All material drilling, sampling and recovery factors were recorded.
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	• All diamond-cored drillholes were geologically and geotechnically logged with the necessary detail to support mining and metallurgical research as well as precise mineral resource estimation. • Representative material (generally half core) has been retained to support further studies as required. The pulps and coarse crushed rejects will be returned from the laboratory. • Drillhole logging was qualitative in nature. • Drillhole core was digitally photographed.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.* • *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the insitu material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	• Most of the drill core was comprised of weathered saprolite material, which was cut by hand using a steel blade or wedge. The geochemical analysis was conducted using the right half of the core, while the other half was preserved for future reference. A diamond saw was used for more consolidated material (i.e. magnetite veins). • The sampling was continuous from surface to EOH. The average length of the samples down to 80m downhole was collected over 1.90 metre intervals. Sixteen of the current MFSR-056 half-core samples were adjusted in length to accommodate geological boundaries at the time of collection. The shortest sample (MFP-595) was 1.0 metres and the longest sample was 2.9 metres (sample MFP-612, magnetite). All were crushed to <3mm; excess sample material will be returned from the laboratory. • The sample size is considered appropriate for the grain size of the sample material.
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.*	• Geochemical analysis for Morro do Ferro's fourth drillhole by Power Minerals was completed by SGS Geosol Laboratory, Vespasiano, Minas Gerais (MG), Brazil. This commercial laboratory is independent and is certified to ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 14001:2015. The samples from drillhole MFSR-056 were blindly submitted in three separate batches. Results for the 42 samples were reported in laboratory batch PO2601487. • The geochemical results for the drillholes were analysed using methods ICP95A and IMS95A. These analyses involved crushing and pulverisation to 95% <150#, then lithium metaborate fusion followed by ICP-OES/MS to determine the whole rock concentration of

personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	48 major oxides and trace elements (including LOI by PHY01E). Samples with concentrations of REO and Th above the method detection limit were re-analysed using the SGS Geosol method IMS95RS. If niobium by method IMS95A is above the upper limit of 0.1% Nb, then the method ICP95A is used for Nb. Due to the doubly charged interferences between gallium and elevated LREE, SGS Geosol does not report Ga analyses by ICP-MS if Ce is over 1000ppm. • The lithium borate fusion method ensures a complete breakdown of samples, even those containing the most resilient acid-resistant minerals. This technique is deemed suitable for analysing REO from the Morro do Ferro Project. • The table below lists the general elements measured by the SGS methods along with their corresponding detection limits:

(17.1) ICP95A

Determination by Fusion with Lithium Metaborate - ICP OES							
Al_2O_3	0.01 - 75 (%)	Ba	10 - 100000 (ppm)	CaO	0.01 - 60 (%)	Cr_2O_3	0.01 - 10 (%)
Fe_2O_3	0.01 - 75 (%)	K_2O	0.01 - 25 (%)	MgO	0.01 - 30 (%)	MnO	0.01 - 10 (%)
Na2O	0.01 - 30 (%)	P_2O5	0.01 - 25 (%)	SiO_2	0.01 - 90 (%)	Sr	10 - 100000 (ppm)
TiO_2	0.01 - 25 (%)	V	5 - 10000 (ppm)	Zn	5 - 10000 (ppm)	Zr	11 - 100000 (ppm)

(17.2) IMS95A

Determination by Fusion with Lithium Metaborate - ICP MS							
Ce	0.1 - 10000 (ppm)	Co	0.5 - 10000 (ppm)	Cs	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Cu	5 - 10000 (ppm)
Dy	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Er	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Eu	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Ga	0.1 - 10000 (ppm)
Gd	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Hf	0.05 - 500 (ppm)	Ho	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	La	0.1 - 10000 (ppm)
Lu	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Mo	2 - 10000 (ppm)	Nb	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Nd	0.1 - 10000 (ppm)
Ni	5 - 10000 (ppm)	Pr	0.5 - 10000 (ppm)	Rb	0.2 - 10000 (ppm)	Sm	0.1 - 10000 (ppm)
Sn	0.3 - 1000 (ppm)	Ta	0.5 - 10000 (ppm)	Tb	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Th	0.1 - 10000 (ppm)
Ti	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	Tm	0.5 - 10000 (ppm)	U	0.05 - 1000 (ppm)	W	0.1 - 10000 (ppm)
Y	0.05 - 10000 (ppm)	Yb	0.1 - 1000 (ppm)				

(17.3) PHY01E

LOI (Loss on ignition) - Loss on ignition by calcination of the sample at 1000°C	
LOI	-45 - 100 (%)

• Determination of Loss on Ignition (LOI) by Gravimetric Method -1000°C
• Loss on ignition (LOI) by calcination at 1000°C

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		• The laboratory batch PO2601499 included blind duplicate samples and OREAS certified standards. There are also laboratory repeat analyses by SGS Geosol. All QC results were well within acceptable limits. • The laboratory data has been successfully imported into the secure Power Minerals relational database. This automated process requires the successful validation of several critical aspects of the data set, and Power continues to commit to an ongoing program of data validation. Checking the digital data against the laboratory certificates is continuing, but no issues have been discovered to date. • The only adjustments applied to the assay data pertain to REO, which have been converted to stoichiometric oxides using standard conversion factors (refer to the Advanced Analytical Centre, James Cook University). Conversion factors used include 1.1477 for Dy_2O_3, 1.1664 for Nd_2O_3, 1.2082 for Pr_6O_{11}, and 1.1762 for Tb_4O_7. • Power Minerals uses the following definitions: – **TREO (Total Rare Earth Oxides) = $[La_2O_3] + [CeO_2] + [Pr_6O_{11}] + [Nd_2O_3] + [Sm_2O_3] + [Eu_2O_3] + [Gd_2O_3] + [Tb_4O_7] + [Dy_2O_3] + [Ho_2O_3] + [Er_2O_3] + [Tm_2O_3] + [Yb_2O_3] + [Lu_2O_3] + [Y_2O_3]$** – HREO (Heavy Rare Earth Oxides) = $[Gd_2O_3] + [Tb_4O_7] + [Dy_2O_3] + [Ho_2O_3] + [Er_2O_3] + [Tm_2O_3] + [Yb_2O_3] + [Lu_2O_3] + [Y_2O_3]$ – LREO (Light Rare Earth Oxides) = $La_2O_3] + [CeO_2] + [Pr_6O_{11}] + [Nd_2O_3] + [Sm_2O_3] + [Eu_2O_3]$ – CREO (Critical Rare Earth Oxides) = $[Nd_2O_3] + [Eu_2O_3] + [Tb_4O_7] + [Dy_2O_3] + [Y_2O_3]$ – **MREO (Magnet Rare Earth Oxides) = $[Nd_2O_3] + [Pr_6O_{11}] + [Tb_4O_7] + [Dy_2O_3]$** – **M/TREO%** is the percentage of MREO to TREO – When either TREO or MREO are provided simply as %, these are percentages of whole rock (converted from total ppm) The definition of Heavy Rare Earth Elements (provided as HREE or HREO) is based chemically on those elements with equal (Gd), or over half-filled 4f electron orbits. The definitions of CREO and MREO are based on economic and market considerations.
Criteria	**JORC Code explanation**	**Commentary**
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and downhole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used.* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	• Drillhole collars were initially georeferenced with a GPS, with an accuracy estimated to be within 2 metres. For diamond core drillholes detailed DGPS (RTX) surveys are completed later with an accuracy estimated to be within 0.2 metres. Collar positions were permanently marked. • Map and collar coordinates are in WGS84 UTM Zone 23 South • Downhole surveys were completed using a SPR Gyromaster digital downhole tool at 10m intervals. No excessive deviation was observed for drillholes.


Personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.* • *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.* • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	• The previous auger drillholes were spaced approximately 40 metres apart and were located on five sections that were spaced approximately 100 to 120 metres apart over the crest of the hill, where the presence of REE mineralisation was already known from historical work. One section further to the northeast, and five additional sections to the southeast, were completed at a wider spacing along the trend of the mineralisation. • The 2012 cored drillholes were located along the five main sections (100 to 120 metres apart) used by the auger holes. Drillhole spacing along the lines varied from 40 to 100 metres. • The 2014 drilling program holes were located to provide more detailed information on the grade distribution of the high-grade core highlighted from the 2012 drilling. The 2014 infill drilling program utilised a spacing of 25 to 50 metres, with section lines positioned at intervals of 50 to 60 metres along the strike of the high-grade core. The quality, spacing, and distribution of the data are adequate for determining grade continuity in specific localised areas of the project. However, substantial sections along strike contain insufficient data, necessitating further drilling to enable accurate grade estimation in these areas. The current 2026 drilling by Power Minerals is designed to define the mineralisation better by increasing the drill density and depth penetrated.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.* • *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	• No orientation bias has been detected at this stage. It is expected that there will be a vertical variation related to the deep and near-total pervasive lateritic weathering. • The location of the project site is probably structurally controlled, but the internal target mineralogy may not be.
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	• Samples were given individual sample numbers for tracking. • The geologist on site was responsible for collecting the samples. Power Minerals staff supervised the transportation from the facility located in Poços de Caldas to the commercial laboratory in the same city.
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	• No external audits or reviews of the sampling techniques and data related to the mineralisation have been completed.

For personal use only

Section 2. Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	• The Morro do Ferro Project is wholly contained within the mining title ANM 005.444/1946, which covers the entire target area, as defined historically by radiometrics. The current holder is Mineracao Terras Raras SA (MTR). The title 005.444/1946 is considered a unique mining permit (‘*Manifesto de Mina*’) and is a real property as opposed to a mining concession. The owner has both surface land rights and mineral mining rights, and there is no expiration date, provided that appropriate taxes are paid. • Power Minerals Ltd has completed a binding agreement to acquire the Morro do Ferro Project. The company is not aware of any impediments that would hinder any transfer process. • The permit covers a total area of 300.72 hectares and is currently in good standing with the appropriate government authorities. Furthermore, there are no identified obstacles to operating within the designated project area. The site is approximately 13km southeast of the city of Poços de Caldas, in the southern part of the Brazilian state of Minas Gerais. It is approximately 200km north of the large Brazilian city of São Paulo. 

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	• The Project was discovered after investigating a significant radiometric anomaly found during regional aerial geophysical surveys. The first systematic exploration was in 1956 for uranium, with the completion of 77 'Empire' shallow drillholes from 10 to 18 metres depth together with 18 diamond core drillholes totalling 1165m (deepest was 125m). A 210m adit along strike was dug and channel sampled for uranium, together with five cross-cutting trenches sampled at 1m intervals. Due to the lower uranium values than expected, the program was abandoned. • A study by the US Geological Survey on the Morro do Ferro deposit was published in 1967. The study (Wedow, 1967) reported initial REE, U and Th analyses. The thorium analyses were nearly all determined by gamma-ray scintillation logging (eTh). • In 1976, Uranio do Brasil completed one single angled (-65°) diamond core drillhole towards the southwest for 463.50m, to test for uranium at depth. No uranium mineralisation was reported, and no further activity was completed. • In 1981, a total of nine diamond-cored drillholes were completed as part of a groundwater study around the project area. Four drillholes were within the tenement but not near the deposit. Geochemical data is extremely limited.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of the mineralisation.*	• The Morro do Ferro Project is hosted within a very large circular alkaline intrusion, the Poços de Caldas. The complex is circular-shaped, with a mean diameter of 33km and an area of approximately 800 km². The plateau is a ring structure of Mesozoic age comprising a suite of alkaline volcanic and plutonic rocks, mainly phonolites and nepheline syenites. • The local geology of the Morro do Ferro Project is characterised by hydrothermally altered country rocks termed 'potassic rocks' overlain by a very deep weathering cover. The residual clay minerals are cross-cut by discrete veins and stockworks consisting of massive magnetite only, goethite only, or a combination of the two. The REO mineralisation is related to the cryptocrystalline minerals bastnasite and cerianite, which are expected to be the main REE-bearing minerals. There is reported minor monazite.
Drillhole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drillholes:* – *easting and northing of the drillhole collar* – *elevation or RL (Reduced Level - elevation above sea level in metres) of the drillhole collar* – *dip and azimuth of the hole* – *downhole length and interception depth* – *hole length.*	• The diamond-cored drill drillholes are all HQ triple tube. The easting and northing datum is WGS84 zone 23 South, and both RL and depth are in metres. Coordinates have been measured using RTK surveying.

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.*	
Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg. cutting of high grades) and cutoff grades are usually Material and should be stated.* • *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.* • *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	• No upper-cut or lower–cut has been applied unless noted. • Unless otherwise stated, all reported intercept grades over more than one sample interval are a weighted average by length. • No metal equivalent values are used in this release. Combined totals of rare earth oxides are used as defined in the *Verification of sampling and assaying* section above. • Lengths for the twenty diamond-cored drillhole MFSR-0546 samples averaged 1.9m, with a maximum of 2.0m and a minimum length of 1.0m.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drillhole angle is known, its nature should be reported.* • *If it is not known and only the downhole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'downhole length, true width not known').*	• The precise orientation/geometry of the mineralisation is unknown, but is interpreted to be hydrothermally controlled with some stratification due to the overprinting effects of extreme lateritic weathering within the boundaries of the complex. • The deep weathering profile often extends to depths of over 150 metres below the surface. • All reported intersections and sample lengths are downhole distances.
Acquisition Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drillhole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	• The appropriate exploration maps and diagrams have been included within the main body of this release.
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	• All significant drillhole results have been reported, including low-grade intersections if material.
Other substantive exploration data	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock*	• A ground-based low-resolution magnetic survey was carried out during 2012 by contractor Pegasus Proseccao Mineral Ltda. The survey used five-metre reading intervals along north-south grid lines using a GEMS GSM19 system. The survey was diurnally corrected. • A gamma spectrometry survey was completed alongside the magnetic survey. An Exploranium GR320 instrument was used.

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	• The historical adit was originally for radionuclides but abandoned, then re-opened, and a total of 103 metres of channel sampling was completed. The samples were sent to SGS Geosol. Location control was determined using a total station (Sokkia Set 600). • A significant number of bulk density measurements have been conducted on the diamond core. In total, 406 measurements were collected using the Archimedes method, with the wet density being determined first. The samples were from all diamond-cored drill drillholes, spanning depths from 3.1 to 199.9 meters. The averaged dry bulk density across all measurements stands at 1.68t/m³. • A brief mineralogical study completed in 2023 by the University of São Paulo revealed that the major REE-bearing minerals were bastnasite and cerianite, with minor contribution from monazite. • Between 2012 and 2016, three preliminary metallurgical test programs were carried out on samples from the Morro do Ferro property. The material appears not to be representative and near surface. • In 2026, independent consultants SA Radiation (Adelaide) examined the entire 2012 and 2014 diamond core, and 2011 auger hole whole-rock geochemistry (n = 2885) from Morro do Ferro deposit, for Power Minerals. Using standard conversion of Th and U concentrations into NORM activity concentrations (Bq/g) with the assumption that all members of the relevant decay series are present in secular equilibrium and that isotopes are present in their natural ratios (as the age over one million years this is considered reasonable). The calculated activity value, including all decay products during the mining process, is an average of 94 Bq/g, with a peak of 377 Bq/g (conservative scenario of 2 standard deviations) applied to account for high NORM activity concentrations within the active mining zone. These calculated values by SA Radiation confirm that Morro do Ferro is within the Brazilian NNEC Medium Risk Category II (100 to 500 Bq/g), and not in the high risk Category I (>500 Bq/g).
Further Work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large- scale step-out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	• Further sampling and drilling activities are scheduled to validate, enhance, and expand upon the existing mineralisation, as well as to explore deeper regions, and to test and assess new areas. Further metallurgical studies are planned to maximise the REO recovery and lower the processing costs.